

L-asparaginase in the treatment of patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia

Rachel A. Egler, Sanjay P. Ahuja, Yousif Matloub

Department of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Rainbow Babies and Children's Hospital, Cleveland, OH, USA

Received: 08-10-2015

Revised: 11-12-2015

Accepted: 01-02-2016

ABSTRACT

Acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) is a hematologic malignancy that predominantly occurs in children between 2 and 10 years of age. L-asparaginase is an integral component of treatment for patients with ALL and since its introduction into pediatric treatment protocols in the 1960s, survival rates in children have progressively risen to nearly 90%. Outcomes for adolescent and young adult (AYA) patients, aged 15–39 years and diagnosed with ALL, have historically been less favorable. However, recent reports suggest substantially increased survival in AYA patients treated on pediatric-inspired protocols that include a greater cumulative dose of asparaginase. All currently available asparaginases share the same mechanism of action – the deamination and depletion of serum asparagine levels – yet each displays a markedly different pharmacokinetic profile. Pegylated asparaginase derived from the bacterium *Escherichia coli* is used as first-line therapy; however, up to 30% of patients develop a treatment-limiting hypersensitivity reaction. Patients who experience a hypersensitivity reaction to an *E. coli*-derived asparaginase can continue treatment with *Erwinia chrysanthemi* asparaginase. *Erwinia* asparaginase is immunologically distinct from *E. coli*-derived asparaginases and exhibits no cross-reactivity. Studies have shown that with adequate dosing, therapeutic levels of *Erwinia* asparaginase activity can be achieved, and patients switched to *Erwinia* asparaginase due to hypersensitivity can obtain outcomes similar to patients who do not experience a hypersensitivity reaction. Therapeutic drug monitoring may be required to ensure that therapeutic levels of asparaginase activity are maintained.

Key words: Adolescent and young adult, asparaginase, *Erwinia chrysanthemi*, *Escherichia coli*, hypersensitivity, pegylated, therapeutic drug monitoring

INTRODUCTION

Acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) is a type of cancer of lymphoid progenitor cells. The two main subtypes of ALL, as categorized by immunophenotype, are B-cell

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.jpharmacol.com
	DOI: 10.4103/0976-500X.184769

Address for correspondence:

Rachel A. Egler, Department of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Rainbow Babies and Children's Hospital, 11100 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA. E-mail: rachel.egler@uhhospitals.org

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Egler RA, Ahuja SP, Matloub Y. L-asparaginase in the treatment of patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J Pharmacol Pharmacother 2016;7:62-71.

ALL and T-cell ALL.^[1] The most common type of cancer in children, ALL represents approximately 25% of cancer diagnoses among children aged younger than 15 years.^[2] Incidence is highest among children aged 2–3 years and declines with age and is higher in males versus females and in Whites versus Blacks/African.^[2] Advances in therapy have led to a substantial improvement in the 5-year survival rate for patients aged <20 years, from 54% between 1975 and 1977 to 90% between 2004 and 2010.^[2] Contemporary therapy for ALL consists of four phases of treatment; specifically remission induction, consolidation/central nervous system-directed therapy, reinduction (delayed intensification), and maintenance/continuation [Table 1].^[1,3,4] Total treatment duration is about 2–3.5 years, with intensive therapy occurring over the first 6–9 months.^[1,3,4]

HISTORY OF ASPARAGINASE TO TREAT PATIENTS WITH ACUTE LYMPHOBLASTIC LEUKEMIA

The use of asparaginase to treat patients with ALL can be traced to the discovery by Kidd^[5] in 1953 that guinea pig serum regressed Gardner 6C3HED lymphosarcoma xenografts implanted subcutaneously in mice. In a series of studies, Broome^[6-8] subsequently demonstrated that asparaginase is responsible for the anti-lymphoma effect of guinea pig serum. The anti-leukemic effect of asparaginase is due to the fact that

lymphoblastic leukemia cells are unable to synthesize adequate amounts of L-asparagine (Asn) and, therefore, depend on extracellular sources. Asparaginase catalyzes the conversion of Asn to aspartic acid and ammonia, thereby depleting serum Asn and starving leukemic cells of the Asn necessary for DNA, RNA, and protein synthesis, leading ultimately to cell death.^[9-11]

ASPARAGINASE AS PART OF FIRST-LINE THERAPY

Based on studies in the 1960s using bacteria to identify alternate sources of asparaginase,^[12-14] clinically available asparaginase is derived from two sources, namely *Escherichia coli* and *Erwinia chrysanthemi*. Native enzyme and an enzyme derivatized by the addition of monomethoxypolyethylene glycol (pegylated) are derived from *E. coli*. Until December 2012, native *E. coli* asparaginase was available in the USA as Elspar® (Lundbeck, Deerfield, IL, USA) when it was withdrawn by the manufacturer;^[15] pegylated *E. coli* asparaginase is available as Oncaspar® (Baxalta Incorporated, Deerfield, IL; formerly Sigma-Tau Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD); and *Erwinia* asparaginase is available as Erwinaze® (Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Palo Alto, CA). Both pegylated *E. coli* asparaginase and *Erwinia* asparaginase are approved for intramuscular (IM) and intravenous (IV) administration.^[16,17]

Table 1: Phases of therapy to treat patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia ^[1,3,4]			
Phase	Length	Purpose	Drugs used
Induction	4-6 weeks	Induce remission	Standard risk Vincristine Glucocorticoid (prednisone or dexamethasone) L-asparaginase High risk Standard risk + anthracycline
Consolidation/ CNS-directed therapy	4-8 weeks	Preventive therapy to eliminate subclinical disease in the CNS	Intrathecal therapy Methotrexate 6-mercaptopurine L-asparaginase Systemic therapy Methotrexate (high-dose or escalating dose) 6-mercaptopurine Dexamethasone L-asparaginase Cyclophosphamide or cytarabine
Reinduction (delayed intensification)	3-6 months	Further reduce leukemic cell burden and eradicate residual drug-resistant leukemic cells	Repeat of induction phase therapy Anti-metabolite therapy Methotrexate (high-dose or escalating dose) Thiopurine Cytarabine 6-mercaptopurine Cyclophosphamide
Maintenance/ continuation	2-3 years	Prevent reemergence of a drug-resistant clone	Anti-metabolite therapy 6-mercaptopurine Methotrexate Vincristine/corticosteroid pulses

CNS=Central nervous system

Until the discovery of asparaginase, standard chemotherapy involved the use of vincristine and prednisone to induce first remission in patients with ALL. The earliest case reports with asparaginase in humans were reported in the mid-1960s by Dolowy *et al.*^[18] and Hill *et al.*^[19] using asparaginase derived from guinea pig serum and highly purified asparaginase, respectively. Trials with larger populations were commenced in the early 1970s following the development of large-scale production methods.^[20-22] Comparison of treatment protocols with/without asparaginase revealed that clinical outcome was improved with those incorporating asparaginase [Table 2].^[23-28] In the Children's Cancer Group (CCG) 101/143 study,^[23] the addition of native *E. coli* asparaginase to the treatment schedule during induction resulted in an increased percentage of patients (93%) who achieved complete remission (CR) at the end of induction compared with 86% of patients who achieved CR in the CCG 903 study^[24] where only vincristine and prednisone were used. In the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute (DFCI) 77-01 trial, patients randomized to receive *E. coli* asparaginase during intensification had a significantly greater probability of disease-free survival (DFS) compared with those receiving treatment that did not include asparaginase ($P=0.04$).^[25] At a median follow-up of 9.4 years, event-free survival (EFS) was 71% versus 31% for patients treated with/without asparaginase, respectively ($P=0.03$).^[26] Similarly, the 4-year continuous CR rate of patients with T-cell ALL in the Pediatric Oncology Group (POG) 8704 was greater for those who also received native *E. coli* asparaginase

during the maintenance phase.^[27] In a study conducted by the Italian, Dutch, and Hungarian Pediatric Oncology Cooperative Groups, patients randomized to receive an additional 20 weeks of asparaginase (mostly *Erwinia* asparaginase) during continuation had a significantly greater probability of DFS at 10 years versus those who did not receive asparaginase (88% vs. 79%; $P=0.03$).^[28]

Study results also suggest the effect of asparaginase on outcome is frequency- or intensity-dependent [Table 3].^[29,30] In the POG 9310 study, children with B-precursor ALL in first marrow relapse with/without concomitant extramedullary relapse had a significantly higher CR rate and an approximate eightfold lower risk of induction failure when investigators increased the frequency of pegylated asparaginase administration, 1-week versus 2-week intervals.^[29] Nearly all patients (95%) in this study were previously exposed to native *E. coli* asparaginase during induction therapy and many patients likely developed anti-asparaginase antibodies. Asparaginase clearance can increase in patients with anti-asparaginase antibodies, and these patients would benefit from a more frequent dosing schedule. The DFCI ALL consortium protocol 91-01 prolonged *E. coli* asparaginase therapy from 20 to 30 weeks during intensification; 5-year EFS was significantly increased in children with newly diagnosed ALL compared retrospectively with previous DFCI protocols.^[30] In this study, patients who tolerated ≤ 25 weeks of asparaginase therapy had worse EFS than those who received ≥ 26 weeks of the enzyme

Table 2: Protocols of trials showing improved efficacy with addition of L-asparaginase

Protocol ^a	Treatment phase	L-asparaginase dose	Frequency	Principal finding
CCG 101/143 ^[23]	Induction	6000 IU/m ² IM	3x/week for 3 weeks	Overall induction remission rate of 93% versus 86% ^[24]
DFCI 77-01 ^{b[25,26]}	Induction	50,000 IU/m ² IV (<6 years)	q 2 days x 5 doses	Significantly greater DFS in patients assigned to asparaginase arm (72% vs. 42%; $P=0.04$)
	Intensification	25,000 IU/m ² IV (≥ 6 years)	q week (until doxorubicin completed)	
		50,000 IU/m ² IV (<6 years)		
		25,000 IU/m ² IV (≥ 6 years)		
POG 8704 ^{b[27]}	Induction	10,000 IU/m ² IM	Day 27, 29, and 31	Significantly greater 4-year CCR rate with asparaginase vs. control (71% vs. 58%; $P<0.001$)
	Continuation	25,000 IU/m ² IM	q week x 20 doses	
IDH-ALL-91 ^{b[28]}	Induction	10,000 IU/m ²	8 x over 3 weeks	Significantly greater 9-year DFS in patients assigned to asparaginase arm (88% vs. 79%; $P=0.03$)
	Reinduction	10,000 IU/m ²	4 x over 2 weeks	
	Continuation	25,000 IU/m ²	q week x 20 doses	

^aAll protocols used native *E. coli* asparaginase, except IDH-ALL-91, in which >90% of patients received *Erwinia* asparaginase, ^bRandomized clinical trial. ALL=Acute lymphoblastic leukemia, CCG=Children's Cancer Group, CCR=Continuous complete remission, CR=Complete remission, DFCI=Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, DFS=Disease-free survival, *E. coli*=*Escherichia coli*, IDH=Italian, Dutch, Hungarian, IM=Intramuscular, IV=Intravenous, POG=Pediatric Oncology Group, q=Every

Table 3: Protocols of trials showing improved efficacy with increased dose intensity of L-asparaginase

Protocol	Treatment phase	Dose regimen 1	Dose regimen 2	Principal finding
POG 9310 ^[29]	Induction	2500 IU/m ² IM, q week x 4 doses (pegylated <i>E. coli</i> L-asparaginase)	2500 IU/m ² IM, q 2 weeks x 2 doses (pegylated <i>E. coli</i> L-asparaginase)	Significantly greater CR rate with higher intensity asparaginase (97% vs. 82%; $P=0.003$)
DFCI 91-01 ^[30]	Intensification	25,000 IU/m ² IM, q week x 30 doses (native <i>E. coli</i> L-asparaginase)	2500 IU/m ² IM, q 2 weeks x 15 doses (pegylated <i>E. coli</i> L-asparaginase)	Significantly greater 5-year EFS with asparaginase ≥ 26 vs. ≤ 25 weeks (90% vs. 73%; $P<0.01$)

CR=Complete remission, DFCI=Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, *E. coli*=*Escherichia coli*, EFS=Event-free survival, IM=Intramuscular, POG=Pediatric Oncology Group, q=Every

(73% vs. 90%, respectively; $P < 0.01$). Older children were less tolerant of more intensive therapy. The authors report that 5-year EFS was not significantly different in patients treated with pegylated asparaginase (78%; $n = 106$) compared with native *E. coli* asparaginase (84%; $n = 92$; $P = 0.29$); however, the study was not sufficiently powered to compare survival between asparaginase preparations.^[30]

OPTIMAL DOSING OF ASPARAGINASE

The goal of asparaginase therapy is to deplete serum Asn. The relationship between the serum asparaginase activity and Asn concentration in humans has been the focus of many studies even though measurement of Asn in the presence of asparaginase has been controversial due to rapid *ex vivo* hydrolysis. The optimal degree and length of asparaginase depletion required for leukemic cell death are not known; however, results from several studies suggest an asparaginase activity level of 0.1 IU/mL as the target necessary to ensure adequate Asn depletion.^[31-35] Thus, doses and schedules, for example, Berlin-Frankfurt-Münster (BFM) and Dutch Childhood Oncology Group protocols, that ensure a nadir serum asparaginase activity (NSAA) ≥ 0.1 IU/mL, have become standard.^[36] Accordingly, a target NSAA ≥ 0.1 IU/mL was the primary endpoint in studies that led to the Food and Drug Administration approval of *Erwinia* asparaginase given IV or IM.^[37,38] Several investigators have reported Asn depletion or positive outcomes in patients with asparaginase activity as low as 0.05 IU/mL, challenging the strict ≥ 0.1 IU/mL criterion.^[36,39-41] Conversely, Avramis and Panosyan have proposed that asparaginase activity levels of >0.4 – 0.7 IU/mL are required for optimal Asn depletion.^[42]

Asselin *et al.*^[43] first recognized the clinical implications on the dosing schedule imparted by differences in the pharmacokinetics of the various preparations. Specifically, they demonstrated that the half-lives ($t_{1/2}$) of serum asparaginase activity following IM administration were 1.28, 5.73, and 0.65 days for native *E. coli*, pegylated *E. coli*, and *Erwinia* asparaginases, respectively; $t_{1/2}$ of serum asparaginase activity correlated with the $t_{1/2}$ of the protein level. The $t_{1/2}$ for native *E. coli* (0.27–0.76 days) and *Erwinia* (0.31 days) asparaginases was lower with IV administration^[16,17,44,45] but was independent of dose or dosing history, age, or disease-risk profile.

Results from studies comparing the effects of native *E. coli* and *Erwinia* asparaginases administered on the same schedule and dose suggested that *Erwinia* asparaginase is less effective. In the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer–Children’s Leukemia Group (EORTC–CLG) 58881 trial, 700 patients aged <18 years were randomized to *E. coli* asparaginase or *Erwinia* asparaginase 10,000 IU/m² IV twice weekly for 4 and 2 weeks during induction and reinduction,

respectively.^[46] Log-rank tests revealed that the 6-year rates of EFS and overall survival for *Erwinia* asparaginase versus *E. coli* asparaginase were 60% versus 73% ($P = 0.0004$) and 75% versus 84% ($P = 0.002$), respectively. Similarly, in the DFCI 95-01 trial, 5-year EFS was inferior with *Erwinia* asparaginase.^[47] In this study, patients were given a single dose of *E. coli* asparaginase or *Erwinia* asparaginase 25,000 IU/m² IM during induction and 25,000 IU/m² IM at weekly intervals for 20 weeks during intensification. The apparent difference in efficacy between asparaginases noted in the EORTC–CLG 58881 and DFCI 95-01 trials is consistent with the differences in pharmacokinetics,^[43] suggesting that the difference in efficacy is related to suboptimal dosing rather than a less effective compound.

In light of the differences in the pharmacokinetic properties of the asparaginase preparations, results from several studies suggest that dose adjustments to yield therapeutic levels of asparaginase activity are necessary if conditions dictate the need to switch between *E. coli*-derived and *Erwinia* asparaginase preparations. In a trial following the ALL/non-Hodgkin lymphoma BFM 95 protocol,^[48] *Erwinia* asparaginase 20,000 IU/m² given for 9 doses during reinduction resulted in a trough enzyme activity level comparable with that measured in a previous study using native *E. coli* (Crasnitin) asparaginase given for 4 doses of 10,000 IU/m².^[39] Albertsen *et al.*^[49] reported that trough asparaginase activity was 1.75 IU/mL versus 0.272 IU/mL following administration of *Erwinia* asparaginase 30,000 IU/m² IM daily for 10 days during induction versus *E. coli* asparaginase (medac) 1000 IU/m² IM, respectively. In the Children’s Oncology Group (COG) AALL07P2 study,^[38] substitution of *Erwinia* asparaginase at a dose of 25,000 IU/m² IM given 3 times weekly for 2 weeks for each dose of pegylated *E. coli* asparaginase yielded an overall median NSAA of 0.645 IU/mL at 48 h after dosing for all treatment cycles, with NSAA ≥ 0.1 IU/mL in 96% of all 48 h samples; the overall median NSAA at 72 h after dosing was 0.248 IU/mL during all treatment courses, with NSAA ≥ 0.1 IU/mL in 85% of all 72 h samples. A key finding of this study was that 80% of the evaluable patients completed all remaining courses of planned asparaginase therapy.^[38] A study to evaluate the pharmacokinetics of IV administration of *Erwinia* asparaginase at a dose of 25,000 IU/m² found that 83% and 43% of patients had an NSAA ≥ 0.1 IU/mL at 48 h and 72 h, respectively.^[37]

HYPERSENSITIVITY TO ESCHERICHIA COLI-DERIVED ASPARAGINASE AND MAINTENANCE OF EFFICACY AFTER SUBSTITUTION WITH ERWINIA ASPARAGINASE

Hypersensitivity caused by the introduction of a foreign protein such as asparaginase is a common toxicity.^[50] Of note, up to 30%

of individuals develop a treatment-limiting allergic reaction to *E. coli*-derived asparaginase, necessitating a switch to *Erwinia* asparaginase.^[30,51,52] *Erwinia* asparaginase is immunologically distinct from *E. coli*-derived asparaginases and, therefore, lacks immunologic cross-reactivity.^[53,54] There are two patterns of hypersensitivity responses including antibody production concomitant with an overt clinical reaction and antibody production in the absence of an overt clinical reaction, referred to as “silent inactivation” or “subclinical hypersensitivity.” The presence of anti-asparaginase antibodies has been documented in numerous studies, ranging in incidence from 26% to 71% of patients.^[51,53,55-65] Results from several studies suggest that pegylated asparaginase is less immunogenic than the native *E. coli* enzyme^[33,66-68] and patients who develop antibodies are more likely to suffer an allergic reaction.^[51,56-58,62,64,69]

The development of a hypersensitivity reaction and/or the production of anti-asparaginase antibodies can have a significant impact on $t_{1/2}$, the serum levels of asparaginase protein and activity, and consequently, clinical outcome.^[29,33,43,53,57,59-62,64,70,71] Several studies have demonstrated that switching patients who develop hypersensitivity to *E. coli*-derived asparaginase to *Erwinia* asparaginase, at a dose level adequate to maintain Asn depletion, yields clinical outcomes equivalent to patients who never experienced a hypersensitivity reaction.^[51,59,72] In the DFCI ALL consortium protocol 00-01, children with newly diagnosed ALL who developed hypersensitivity to native *E. coli* asparaginase were switched to treatment with twice-weekly IM *Erwinia* asparaginase at a dose of 25,000 IU/m².^[72] Measurements of asparaginase activity showed that 89% of *Erwinia* patients had at least one trough asparaginase activity level > 0.1. Importantly, the investigators showed that patients who switched to *Erwinia* asparaginase due to hypersensitivity to native *E. coli* asparaginase showed similar EFS at 5.4 years compared with patients who never developed hypersensitivity (86.5% vs. 81.3%, respectively; $P = 0.55$).^[72] Similarly, recently reported data from CCG-1961 show that 5-year EFS was similar in patients who were able to tolerate pegylated *E. coli* asparaginase throughout postinduction compared with patients who displayed clinical hypersensitivity and were switched to *Erwinia* asparaginase (80.8% vs 81.6%, respectively; $P = 0.66$).^[73] In the St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital front-line protocol XIII-HR, Woo *et al.*^[51] noted no difference in the 4-year EFS rate between patients who developed hypersensitivity and were switched to *Erwinia* asparaginase compared with patients who did not develop hypersensitivity and continued treatment with *E. coli* asparaginase (82% vs. 78%, respectively; $P = 0.68$).

In a prospective drug-monitoring study by Tong *et al.*, patients who developed silent inactivation or allergy to pegylated *E. coli* asparaginase were given *Erwinia* asparaginase 20,000 IU/m² 3 times weekly for up to 30 weeks during intensification.^[74] Approximately 96% of the patients had at

least one NSAA level ≥ 0.1 IU/mL during the first 2 weeks, and all patients had at least one NSAA level ≥ 0.1 IU/mL; thereafter, 47% of the patients had all 48 h samples ≥ 0.1 IU/mL from week 6 to 30.

Erwinia asparaginase is indicated for those patients who have developed hypersensitivity to native or pegylated *E. coli* asparaginase.^[17] A treatment algorithm created by Bleyer *et al.*^[75] proposes that if a reaction is suspected to have occurred after infusion of pegylated *E. coli* asparaginase, serum should be collected after 4–7 days if the full dose was given, or earlier for an incomplete dose. Based on the finding of Rizzari *et al.*,^[40] the algorithm recommends switching to *Erwinia* asparaginase if the serum NSAA is <0.05 IU/mL. Of note, NSAA put forth in this algorithm is below the generally accepted threshold of 0.1 IU/mL as an index of asparaginase efficacy in pediatric patients,^[36] and others have suggested a target level of 0.05 IU/mL to be inadequate. Specifically, results from two studies of adolescent and young adult (AYA) and adult patients with newly diagnosed ALL suggest that minimal serum asparaginase activity levels of 0.2 IU/mL^[76] and 0.4 IU/mL^[77] are associated with significant Asn depletion. Moreover, in the study by Angiolillo *et al.*,^[77] Asn began to rebound after asparaginase activity fell below 0.4 IU/mL.

THERAPEUTIC DRUG MONITORING

The wide interpatient variability with respect to trough asparaginase activity levels in serum, the development of subclinical hypersensitivity, and differences in the pharmacokinetic properties among the different asparaginase preparations have underscored the need for therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM). The importance of TDM has been highlighted in several studies. In a study following the ALL-BFM 2000 protocol, children were given eight and four doses of native *E. coli* asparaginase (medac or Crasnitin) 10,000 IU/m² IV during induction and reinduction, respectively; patients were switched to *Erwinia* asparaginase if they developed an allergy or untoward reaction.^[39] During induction, median trough asparaginase activity was higher and $t_{1/2}$ was longer with medac versus Crasnitin. During reinduction, the rank order of median trough asparaginase activity was medac (0.528 IU/mL), Crasnitin (0.049 IU/mL), and *Erwinia* asparaginase (<0.02 IU/mL). Boos *et al.*, therefore, concluded that monitoring is necessary to ensure efficacy targets are reached following substitution of therapy due to an allergic reaction.^[39] In their study to assess the pharmacokinetics of IV administration of *Erwinia* asparaginase, Vrooman *et al.* concluded that every 48 h dosing should be evaluated, given that NSAA ≥ 0.1 IU/mL was achieved in 83% of patients after 48 h versus 43% of patients after 72 h.^[37] By monitoring patients given native *E. coli* asparaginase 5000 or 10,000 IU/m², pegylated *E. coli* asparaginase 1000 IU/m², or

Erwinia asparaginase 10,000 IU/m² according to the ALL-BFM 2000 protocol, Schrey *et al.* found that the wide range of serum asparaginase activity regardless of the asparaginase preparation highlighted the need for TDM.^[78]

Results from a recent study to evaluate the relative tolerability and efficacy of fixed versus individualized dosing suggest that individual dosing may be an effective strategy to improve outcome.^[79] Patients treated according to the DFCI ALL consortium protocol 00-01 were given 30 weekly doses of *E. coli* asparaginase either at a fixed dose of 25,000 IU/m² IM or an individualized dose, based on monitoring of the NSAA, starting at 12,500 IU/m², and adjusted to maintain NSAA between 0.10 and 0.14 IU/mL.^[79] Clinical outcomes were superior with individualized dosing compared with fixed dosing: Fewer relapses (9% vs. 15%, respectively) and significantly greater overall 5-year EFS (90% vs. 82%, respectively; *P* = 0.04). Moreover, 5-year EFS was 95% in patients placed on individualized dosing, but who were switched to another preparation because of silent inactivation compared with 76% for those in the FD arm with NSAA <0.1 IU/mL and never switched.^[79] These results suggest that individualized dosing may improve clinical outcome by monitoring asparaginase activity and prospectively identifying subclinical hypersensitivity.

SPECIAL POPULATION: OPTIMAL TREATMENT PARADIGM IN ADOLESCENT AND YOUNG ADULTS

Despite the significant advances made in the treatment of children with ALL, the outcome for AYAs, defined by the National Cancer Institute as patients aged 15–39 years,^[2] has historically been considerably less favorable. A period analysis of trends in 5-year survival based on the US National Cancer

Institute Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results 9 registry has shown that relative survival in children aged younger than 15 years increased from 80% between 1990 and 1994 to 88% between 2000 and 2004.^[80] The 5-year survival for adolescents aged 15–19 years also increased during that time, but from 41% to 61%, respectively; lesser but significant improvements were seen for older age groups as well.^[81] Similarly, an analysis of 21,626 patients aged 0–22 years enrolled in the COG ALL clinical trials showed that the 5-year survival rate was 91% for children aged younger than 15 years and 75% for those aged 15–19 years in 2000–2005.^[82]

The reasons for the disparity in outcome are multifactorial and not completely understood. Adult patients have a poorer tolerance to intensive chemotherapy involving asparaginase. Evidence of increased toxicity in adults was noted as early as 1970 by Oettgen *et al.*^[55] As a result of using different treatment protocols, there is an abrupt drop in the 5-year survival/age relationship at the age at which pediatric versus adult therapy regimens are administered.^[83]

Retrospective comparisons have consistently shown that clinical outcome is improved in AYAs treated with pediatric versus adult treatment protocols [Table 4].^[84–87] Prospective studies have shown that clinical outcome is improved in AYAs treated with pediatric or pediatric-inspired protocols [Table 5]^[88–93] when compared with historical controls of patients treated on adult protocols.^[94,95] A feature common to the pediatric protocols was the higher cumulative dose of asparaginase, as well as that of glucocorticoid and vincristine, compared with the adult protocols. The asparaginase-free regimen comprising hyperfractionated cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone (hyper-CVAD) has been widely used to treat AYA patients.^[96–100] Although most retrospective studies have documented inferior survival rates in AYA patients treated with hyper-CVAD versus

Table 4: Trials involving adolescent and young adult patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated with pediatric versus adult protocols

Country (years)	Age range, years	Protocol	Principal findings (pediatric vs. adult) (%)
France (1993-2000) ^[84]	15-20	FRALLE-93 (pediatric) LALA-94 (adult)	CR ^a : 94 vs. 83; <i>P</i> =0.04 OS ^a : 78 vs. 45; <i>P</i> <0.0001 EFS ^a : 67 vs. 41; <i>P</i> <0.0001 DFS ^a : 72 vs. 49; <i>P</i> =0.004
UK (1997-2002) ^[85]	15-17	ALL97/99 (pediatric) UKALLXII/E2993 (adult)	CR ^a : 98 vs. 94; <i>P</i> =0.4 OS ^a : 71 vs. 56; <i>P</i> =0.04 EFS ^a : 65 vs. 49; <i>P</i> =0.01
The Netherlands (1984-2004) ^[86]	15-18	DCOG ALL6-9 (pediatric) HOVON ALL-5/ALL-18 (adult)	CR ^a : 98 vs. 91; <i>P</i> =0.19 OS ^a : 79 vs. 38; <i>P</i> <0.0001 EFS ^a : 69 vs. 34; <i>P</i> =0.0001 DFS ^a : 71 vs. 37; <i>P</i> =0.0002
US (1988-2001) ^[87]	16-20	CCG 1882/1901 (pediatric) CALGB 8811/9111/9311/9511/19802 (adult)	CR ^b : 90 vs. 90; <i>P</i> =0.89 OS ^b : 67 vs. 46; <i>P</i> <0.001 EFS ^b : 63 vs. 34; <i>P</i> <0.001

^a5-year rates, ^b7-year rates. BFM=Berlin-Frankfurt-Münster, CALGB=Cancer and Leukemia Group B, CCG=Children’s Cancer Group, CR=Complete response, DCOG=Dutch Childhood Oncology Group, DFS=Disease-free survival, EFS=Event-free survival, FRALLE=French Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia Group, HOVON=Dutch-Belgian Hemato-Oncology, LALA=France-Belgium Group for Lymphoblastic Acute Leukemia in Adults, OS=Overall survival

Table 5: Trials involving adolescent and young adult patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated with pediatric or pediatric-inspired protocols

Country (years)	Age range, years	Protocol	Principal findings (%)
Spain (1996-2005) ^[88]	15-30	PETHEMA ALL-96 ^a	CR: 98 OS ^c : 69 EFS ^c : 61
The Netherlands and Belgium (2005-2007) ^[89]	17-39	HOVON 70 ^a	CR: 91 OS ^c : 72 EFS ^d : 66
France, Belgium, and Switzerland (2003-2005) ^[90]	15-60	GRAALL-2003 ^a	CR: 93.5 OS ^c : 60 EFS ^c : 55
Germany (not given) ^[91]	15-35	GMALL 05/93 ^a GMALL 07/03 ^a	CR: 88 OS ^c : 46 CR: 91 OS ^c : 65
US (2007-2012) ^[92]	17-39	COG AALL0232 ^b	OS ^d : 78 EFS ^d : 66
US (2002-2008) ^[93]	18-50	DFCI Adult ALL Consortium Protocol 01-175 ^a	CR: 85 OS ^c : 67 DFS ^c : 69

^aPediatric-inspired, ^bPediatric, ^c6-year rates, ^d2-year rates, ^e3.5-year rates, ^f5-year rates, ^g4-year rates. COG=Children's Oncology Group, CR=Complete remission, DFCI=Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, DFS=Disease-free survival, EFS=Event-free survival, GMALL=German Multicenter Study Group for ALL, GRAALL=Group for Research on Adult Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia, HOVON=Dutch-Belgian Hemato-Oncology, OS=Overall survival, PETHEMA=Spanish Program for the Study of Therapeutics for Hematological Malignancies

pediatric-inspired protocols,^[101,102] Rytting *et al.*^[103] found that the 3-year OS was 71% and 74% for AYA patients treated with hyper-CVAD and an augmented BFM protocol, respectively.

As described, pediatric protocols are distinguished by the use of intensive asparaginase, vincristine, and glucocorticoid therapies. A meta-analysis of total therapy studies XIIIa, XIIIb, XIV, and XV revealed that despite the more intensive treatment regimen, the incidence of asparaginase-related allergy was not higher in patients aged 15–18 years treated with any of these protocols versus those aged 1–14 years; conversely, the incidences of thromboembolic complications, pancreatitis, osteonecrosis, and hyperglycemia were greater in the older patients.^[104] Central venous thrombosis (CVT) is a potentially life-threatening event that has been reported in a minority of patients receiving asparaginase and corticosteroids.^[105,106] Early monitoring and detection of CVT are critical to ensure positive outcomes with anticoagulation therapy.^[105,106]

In a compassionate-use trial with patients switched to *Erwinia* asparaginase after developing a hypersensitivity reaction to native *E. coli* or pegylated *E. coli* asparaginase, Plourde *et al.*^[107] found that the safety profile in 147 patients

aged ≥ 16 –<40 years was consistent overall with that of the full trial population. In this trial, *Erwinia* asparaginase was given at a dose of 25,000 IU/m² IM 3 times/week for 2 weeks for each dose of pegylated *E. coli* asparaginase remaining or 1:1 for each dose of native *E. coli* asparaginase remaining. In addition, in the USA intergroup study C10403, the largest prospective study to date to assess the feasibility of pediatric protocols in AYAs, toxicities were manageable in AYA patients treated using the COG AALL0232 regimen administered by adult hematologists/oncologists; 2-year OS and EFS rates were 78% and 66%, respectively.^[108]

SUMMARY

Following the seminal discovery by Kidd in 1953,^[5] asparaginase has been a mainstay of pediatric chemotherapy protocols to treat patients with ALL. Since the incorporation of asparaginase into treatment protocols, clinical outcomes have improved significantly, with an NSAA ≥ 0.1 IU/mL widely accepted as the therapeutic level necessary to achieve efficacy. Pegylated *E. coli* asparaginase remains first-line treatment, but the occurrence of an allergic reaction necessitates a switch to *Erwinia* asparaginase. Studies have shown that substitution of *Erwinia* asparaginase for *E. coli*-derived asparaginase following an allergic reaction and/or silent inactivation is an effective therapeutic option to complete the treatment protocol as planned. The use of pediatric-inspired protocols has been shown to improve outcome in the AYA population with an acceptable safety profile. TDM may also improve clinical outcome by prospectively identifying patients who develop subclinical hypersensitivity.

Acknowledgments

Yousif Matloub is the Angie Fowler Chair of Adolescent and Young Adult Cancer. The authors would like to acknowledge and thank Melissa Makii, PharmD, BCPS, Clinical Pharmacy Specialist, for her insightful contributions in the writing and reviewing of this manuscript. We would also like to thank Gerard D'Angelo, PhD, of The Curry Rockefeller Group, LLC, Tarrytown, NY, who provided editorial assistance that was supported by Jazz Pharmaceuticals.

Financial support and sponsorship

This work was supported by Jazz Pharmaceuticals.

Conflicts of interest

Rachel A. Egler has nothing to disclose. Sanjay P. Ahuja has received honoraria from Bayer and Biogen Inc., served as a consultant for Bayer and Biogen Inc., and served on the speakers' bureau for Biogen Inc., Novo Nordisk Inc., and Grifols. Yousif Matloub has served as a consultant for Novartis AG, has received honoraria from Novartis AG and Jazz Pharmaceuticals, and owns stock in Amgen Inc.

REFERENCES

- Gaynon P, Schrappe M. Childhood ALL. In: Cairo M, Perkins S, editors. Hematological Malignancies in Children, Adolescents and Young Adults. Hackensack, NJ: World Scientific Publishing Company; 2012. p. 197-235.
- National Cancer Institute. Section 28: Childhood cancer by site, incidence, survival, and mortality. In: Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, Garshell J, Neyman N, Altekruse SF, *et al.*, editors. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2011. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute; 2014.
- Pui CH, Evans WE. Treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354:166-78.
- Pui CH, Robison LL, Look AT. Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Lancet* 2008;371:1030-43.
- Kidd JG. Regression of transplanted lymphomas induced *in vivo* by means of normal guinea pig serum. I. Course of transplanted cancers of various kinds in mice and rats given guinea pig serum, horse serum, or rabbit serum. *J Exp Med* 1953;98:565-82.
- Broome JD. Evidence that L-asparaginase activity of guinea pig serum is responsible for its antilymphoma effects. *Nature* 1961;191:1114-5.
- Broome JD. Evidence that the L-asparaginase of guinea pig serum is responsible for its antilymphoma effects. I. Properties of the L-asparaginase of guinea pig serum in relation to those of the antilymphoma substance. *J Exp Med* 1963;118:99-120.
- Broome JD. Evidence that the L-asparaginase of guinea pig serum is responsible for its antilymphoma effects. II. Lymphoma 6C3HED cells cultured in a medium devoid of L-asparagine lose their susceptibility to the effects of guinea pig serum *in vivo*. *J Exp Med* 1963;118:121-48.
- Ho DH, Whitecar JP Jr., Luce JK, Frei E 3rd. L-asparagine requirement and the effect of L-asparaginase on the normal and leukemic human bone marrow. *Cancer Res* 1970;30:466-72.
- Onuma T, Waligunda J, Holland JF. Amino acid requirements *in vitro* of human leukemic cells. *Cancer Res* 1971;31:1640-4.
- Sobin LH, Kidd JG. A metabolic difference between two lines of lymphoma 6C3hed cells in relation to asparagine. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* 1965;119:325-7.
- Wade HE, Elsworth R, Herbert D, Keppie J, Sargeant K. A new L-asparaginase with antitumour activity? *Lancet* 1968;2:776-7.
- Schwartz JH, Reeves JY, Broome JD. Two L-asparaginases from *E. coli* and their action against tumors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1966;56:1516-9.
- Boyse EA, Old LJ, Campbell HA, Mashburn LT. Suppression of murine leukemias by L-asparaginase. Incidence of sensitivity among leukemias of various types: Comparative inhibitory activities of guinea pig serum L-asparaginase and *Escherichia coli* L-asparaginase. *J Exp Med* 1967;125:17-31.
- Keding R. Discontinuation of Elspar®, (asparaginase for injection) 10,000 IU. Deerfield, IL: Lundbeck LLC; 2012. Available from: <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DrugSafety/DrugShortages/UCM321556.pdf>. [Last accessed on 2015 Jul 30].
- Oncaspar® (pegaspargase). Prescribing information. Deerfield, IL: Baxalta, Incorporated (formerly Gaithersburg, MD: Sigma Tau Pharmaceuticals, Inc.); 2015.
- Erwinaze® (asparaginase *Erwinia chrysanthemi*). Prescribing Information. Palo Alto, CA: Jazz Pharmaceuticals; 2014.
- Dolowy WC, Henson D, Cornet J, Sellin H. Toxic and antineoplastic effects of L-asparaginase. Study of mice with lymphoma and normal monkeys and report on a child with leukemia. *Cancer* 1966;19:1813-9.
- Hill JM, Roberts J, Loeb E, Khan A, MacLellan A, Hill RW. L-asparaginase therapy for leukemia and other malignant neoplasms. Remission in human leukemia. *JAMA* 1967;202:882-8.
- Tállal L, Tan C, Oettgen H, Wollner N, McCarthy M, Helson L, *et al.* *E. coli* L-asparaginase in the treatment of leukemia and solid tumors in 131 children. *Cancer* 1970;25:306-20.
- Clarkson B, Krakoff I, Burchenal J, Karnofsky D, Golbey R, Dowling M, *et al.* Clinical results of treatment with *E. coli* L-asparaginase in adults with leukemia, lymphoma, and solid tumors. *Cancer* 1970;25:279-305.
- Sutow WW, Garcia F, Starling KA, Williams TE, Lane DM, Gehan EA. L-asparaginase therapy in children with advanced leukemia. The Southwest Cancer Chemotherapy Study Group. *Cancer* 1971;28:819-24.
- Ortega JA, Nesbit ME Jr., Donaldson MH, Hittle RE, Weiner J, Karon M, *et al.* L-Asparaginase, vincristine, and prednisone for induction of first remission in acute lymphocytic leukemia. *Cancer Res* 1977;37:535-40.
- Heyn RM, Joo P, Karon M, Nesbit M, Shore N, Breslow N, *et al.* BCG in the treatment of acute lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood* 1975;46:431-42.
- Sallan SE, Hitchcock-Bryan S, Gelber R, Cassady JR, Frei E 3rd, Nathan DG. Influence of intensive asparaginase in the treatment of childhood non-T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Cancer Res* 1983;43:5601-7.
- Sallan SE, Gelber RD, Kimball V, Donnelly M, Cohen HJ. More is better! Update of Dana-Farber Cancer Institute/Children's Hospital childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia trials. *Haematol Blood Transfus* 1990;33:459-66.
- Amylon MD, Shuster J, Pullen J, Berard C, Link MP, Wharam M, *et al.* Intensive high-dose asparaginase consolidation improves survival for pediatric patients with T cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia and advanced stage lymphoblastic lymphoma: A Pediatric Oncology Group study. *Leukemia* 1999;13:335-42.
- Pession A, Valsecchi MG, Masera G, Kamps WA, Magyarosy E, Rizzari C, *et al.* Long-term results of a randomized trial on extended use of high dose L-asparaginase for standard risk childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:7161-7.
- Abshire TC, Pollock BH, Billett AL, Bradley P, Buchanan GR. Weekly polyethylene glycol conjugated L-asparaginase compared with biweekly dosing produces superior induction remission rates in childhood relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia: A Pediatric Oncology Group Study. *Blood* 2000;96:1709-15.
- Silverman LB, Gelber RD, Dalton VK, Asselin BL, Barr RD, Clavell LA, *et al.* Improved outcome for children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Results of Dana-Farber Consortium Protocol 91-01. *Blood* 2001;97:1211-8.
- Riccardi R, Holcenberg JS, Glaubiger DL, Wood JH, Poplack DG. L-asparaginase pharmacokinetics and asparagine levels in cerebrospinal fluid of rhesus monkeys and humans. *Cancer Res* 1981;41(11 Pt 1):4554-8.
- Ahlke E, Nowak-Göttl U, Schulze-Westhoff P, Werber G, Börste H, Würthwein G, *et al.* Dose reduction of asparaginase under pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic control during induction therapy in children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Br J Haematol* 1997;96:675-81.
- Avramis VI, Sencer S, Periclou AP, Sather H, Bostrom BC, Cohen LJ, *et al.* A randomized comparison of native *Escherichia coli* asparaginase and polyethylene glycol conjugated asparaginase for treatment of children with newly diagnosed standard-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia: A Children's Cancer Group study. *Blood* 2002;99:1986-94.
- Müller HJ, Löning L, Horn A, Schwabe D, Gunkel M, Schrappe M, *et al.* Pegylated asparaginase (Oncaspar) in children with ALL: Drug monitoring in reinduction according to the ALL/NHL-BFM 95 protocols. *Br J Haematol* 2000;110:379-84.
- Albertsen BK, Schröder H, Jakobsen P, Müller HJ, Carlsen NT, Schmiegelow K. Monitoring of *Erwinia* asparaginase therapy in childhood ALL in the Nordic countries. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2001;52:433-7.
- Pieters R, Hunger SP, Boos J, Rizzari C, Silverman L, Baruchel A, *et al.* L-asparaginase treatment in acute lymphoblastic leukemia: A focus on *Erwinia* asparaginase. *Cancer* 2011;117:238-49.
- Vrooman LM, Kirov II, Dreyer ZE, Kelly M, Hijiya N, Brown P, *et al.* Activity and toxicity of intravenous *Erwinia* asparaginase following allergy to *E. coli*-derived asparaginase in children and adolescents with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2016;63:228-33.
- Salzer WL, Asselin B, Supko JG, Devidas M, Kaiser NA, Plourde P, *et al.* *Erwinia* asparaginase achieves therapeutic activity after pegaspargase allergy: A report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Blood* 2013;122:507-14.
- Boos J, Werber G, Ahlke E, Schulze-Westhoff P, Nowak-Göttl U, Würthwein G, *et al.* Monitoring of asparaginase activity and asparagine levels in children on different asparaginase preparations. *Eur J Cancer* 1996;32A: 1544-50.
- Rizzari C, Zucchetti M, Conter V, Diomedea L, Bruno A, Gavazzi L, *et al.* L-asparagine depletion and L-asparaginase activity in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia receiving i.m. or i.v. *Erwinia C.* or *E. coli* L-asparaginase as first exposure. *Ann Oncol* 2000;11:189-93.
- Appel IM, Kazemier KM, Boos J, Lanvers C, Huijman J, Veerman AJ, *et al.* Pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic and intracellular effects of PEG-asparaginase in newly diagnosed childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Results from a single agent window study. *Leukemia* 2008;22:1665-79.
- Avramis VI, Panosyan EH. Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic relationships of asparaginase formulations: The past, the present and recommendations for the future. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2005;44:367-93.

Egler, *et al.*: L-asparaginase treatment in ALL

43. Asselin BL, Whitin JC, Coppola DJ, Rupp IP, Sallan SE, Cohen HJ. Comparative pharmacokinetic studies of three asparaginase preparations. *J Clin Oncol* 1993;11:1780-6.
44. Ho DH, Yap HY, Brown N, Benjamin RS, Friereich EJ, Blumenschein GR, *et al.* Clinical pharmacology of intramuscularly administered L-asparaginase. *J Clin Pharmacol* 1981;21:72-8.
45. Albertsen BK, Jakobsen P, Schröder H, Schmiegelow K, Carlsen NT. Pharmacokinetics of *Erwinia* asparaginase after intravenous and intramuscular administration. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2001;48:77-82.
46. Duval M, Suci S, Ferster A, Rialland X, Nelken B, Lutz P, *et al.* Comparison of *Escherichia coli*-asparaginase with *Erwinia*-asparaginase in the treatment of childhood lymphoid malignancies: Results of a randomized European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer-Children's Leukemia Group phase 3 trial. *Blood* 2002;99:2734-9.
47. Moghrabi A, Levy DE, Asselin B, Barr R, Clavell L, Hurwitz C, *et al.* Results of the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute ALL Consortium Protocol 95-01 for children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* 2007;109:896-904.
48. Vieira Pinheiro JP, Ahlke E, Nowak-Göttl U, Hempel G, Müller HJ, Lümkemann K, *et al.* Pharmacokinetic dose adjustment of *Erwinia* asparaginase in protocol II of the paediatric ALL/NHL-BFM treatment protocols. *Br J Haematol* 1999;104:313-20.
49. Albertsen BK, Schröder H, Ingerslev J, Jakobsen P, Avramis VI, Müller HJ, *et al.* Comparison of intramuscular therapy with *Erwinia* asparaginase and asparaginase Medac: Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, formation of antibodies and influence on the coagulation system. *Br J Haematol* 2001;115:983-90.
50. Raetz EA, Salzer WL. Tolerability and efficacy of L-asparaginase therapy in pediatric patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2010;32:554-63.
51. Woo MH, Hak LJ, Storm MC, Sandlund JT, Ribeiro RC, Rivera GK, *et al.* Hypersensitivity or development of antibodies to asparaginase does not impact treatment outcome of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Clin Oncol* 2000;18:1525-32.
52. Müller HJ, Beier R, Löning L, Blüthner-Sawatzki R, Dörfel W, Maass E, *et al.* Pharmacokinetics of native *Escherichia coli* asparaginase (Asparaginase medac) and hypersensitivity reactions in ALL-BFM 95 reinduction treatment. *Br J Haematol* 2001;114:794-9.
53. Willer A, Gerss J, König T, Franke D, Kühnel HJ, Henze G, *et al.* Anti-*Escherichia coli* asparaginase antibody levels determine the activity of second-line treatment with pegylated *E. coli* asparaginase: A retrospective analysis within the ALL-BFM trials. *Blood* 2011;118:5774-82.
54. Wang B, Relling MV, Storm MC, Woo MH, Ribeiro R, Pui CH, *et al.* Evaluation of immunologic crossreaction of anti-asparaginase antibodies in acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and lymphoma patients. *Leukemia* 2003;17:1583-8.
55. Oettgen HF, Stephenson PA, Schwartz MK, Leeper RD, Tallai L, Tan CC, *et al.* Toxicity of *E. coli* L-asparaginase in man. *Cancer* 1970;25:253-78.
56. Peterson RG, Handschumacher RE, Mitchell MS. Immunological responses to L-asparaginase. *J Clin Invest* 1971;50:1080-90.
57. Cheung NK, Chau IY, Coccia PE. Antibody response to *Escherichia coli* L-asparaginase. Prognostic significance and clinical utility of antibody measurement. *Am J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 1986;8:99-104.
58. Woo MH, Hak LJ, Storm MC, Evans WE, Sandlund JT, Rivera GK, *et al.* Anti-asparaginase antibodies following *E. coli* asparaginase therapy in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia* 1998;12:1527-33.
59. Panosyan EH, Seibel NL, Martin-Aragon S, Gaynon PS, Avramis IA, Sather H, *et al.* Asparaginase antibody and asparaginase activity in children with higher-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Children's Cancer Group Study CCG-9611. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2004;26:217-26.
60. Armstrong JK, Hempel G, Koling S, Chan LS, Fisher T, Meiselman HJ, *et al.* Antibody against poly(ethylene glycol) adversely affects PEG-asparaginase therapy in acute lymphoblastic leukemia patients. *Cancer* 2007;110:103-11.
61. Panetta JC, Gajjar A, Hijiya N, Hak LJ, Cheng C, Liu W, *et al.* Comparison of native *E. coli* and PEG asparaginase pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2009;86:651-8.
62. Liu C, Kawedia JD, Cheng C, Pei D, Fernandez CA, Cai X, *et al.* Clinical utility and implications of asparaginase antibodies in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia* 2012;26:2303-9.
63. Zalewska-Szewczyk B, Andrzejewski W, Bodalski J. Development of anti-asparaginase antibodies in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2004;43:600-2.
64. Zalewska-Szewczyk B, Andrzejewski W, Mlynarski W, Jedrychowska-Danska K, Witas H, Bodalski J. The anti-asparaginase antibodies correlate with L-asparaginase activity and may affect clinical outcome of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2007;48:931-6.
65. Albertsen BK, Schröder H, Jakobsen P, Avramis VI, Müller HJ, Schmiegelow K, *et al.* Antibody formation during intravenous and intramuscular therapy with *Erwinia* asparaginase. *Med Pediatr Oncol* 2002;38:310-6.
66. Ettinger LJ, Asselin B, Poplack DG, Kurtzberg J. Toxicity profile of PEG-L-asparaginase in native – L-asparaginase hypersensitive and non-hypersensitive patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). *Med Pediatr Oncol* 1993;21:556.
67. Keating MJ, Holmes R, Lerner S, Ho DH. L-asparaginase and PEG asparaginase – Past, present, and future. *Leuk Lymphoma* 1993;10 Suppl: 153-7.
68. Kamisaki Y, Wada H, Yagura T, Matsushima A, Inada Y. Reduction in immunogenicity and clearance rate of *Escherichia coli* L-asparaginase by modification with monomethoxypolyethylene glycol. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1981;216:410-4.
69. Killander D, Dohlitz A, Engstedt L, Franzén S, Gahrton G, Gullbring B, *et al.* Hypersensitive reactions and antibody formation during L-asparaginase treatment of children and adults with acute leukemia. *Cancer* 1976;37:220-8.
70. Capizzi RL, Bertino JR, Skeel RT, Creasey WA, Zanes R, Olayon C, *et al.* L-asparaginase: Clinical, biochemical, pharmacological, and immunological studies. *Ann Intern Med* 1971;74:893-901.
71. Schwartz MK, Lash ED, Oettgen HF, Tomato FA. L-asparaginase activity in plasma and other biological fluids. *Cancer* 1970;25:244-52.
72. Vrooman LM, Supko JG, Neuberger DS, Asselin BL, Athale UH, Clavell L, *et al.* *Erwinia* asparaginase after allergy to *E. coli* asparaginase in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2010;54:199-205.
73. Ko RH, Jones TL, Radvinsky D, Robison N, Gaynon PS, Panosyan EH, *et al.* Allergic reactions and anti-asparaginase antibodies in children with high-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia: A children's oncology group report. *Cancer* 2015;121:4205-11.
74. Tong WH, Pieters R, Kaspers GJ, te Loo DM, Bierings MB, van den Bos C, *et al.* A prospective study on drug monitoring of PEG-asparaginase and *Erwinia* asparaginase and asparaginase antibodies in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* 2014;123:2026-33.
75. Bleyer A, Asselin BL, Koontz SE, Hunger SP. Clinical application of asparaginase activity levels following treatment with pegaspargase. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2015;62:1102-5.
76. Douer D, Yampolsky H, Cohen LJ, Watkins K, Levine AM, Periclou AP, *et al.* Pharmacodynamics and safety of intravenous pegaspargase during remission induction in adults aged 55 years or younger with newly diagnosed acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* 2007;109:2744-50.
77. Angiolillo AL, Schore RJ, Devidas M, Borowitz MJ, Carroll AJ, Gastier-Foster JM, *et al.* Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of calaspargase pegol *Escherichia coli* L-asparaginase in the treatment of patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Results from Children's Oncology Group Study AALL07P4. *J Clin Oncol* 2014;32:3874-82.
78. Schrey D, Borghorst S, Lanvers-Kaminsky C, Hempel G, Gerss J, Möricke A, *et al.* Therapeutic drug monitoring of asparaginase in the ALL-BFM 2000 protocol between 2000 and 2007. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2010;54:952-8.
79. Vrooman LM, Stevenson KE, Supko JG, O'Brien J, Dahlberg SE, Asselin BL, *et al.* Postinduction dexamethasone and individualized dosing of *Escherichia coli* L-asparaginase each improve outcome of children and adolescents with newly diagnosed acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Results from a randomized study – Dana-Farber Cancer Institute ALL Consortium Protocol 00-01. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:1202-10.
80. Pulte D, Gondos A, Brenner H. Trends in 5- and 10-year survival after diagnosis with childhood hematologic malignancies in the United States, 1990-2004. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2008;100:1301-9.
81. Pulte D, Gondos A, Brenner H. Improvement in survival in younger patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia from the 1980s to the early 21st century. *Blood* 2009;113:1408-11.
82. Hunger SP, Lu X, Devidas M, Camitta BM, Gaynon PS, Winick NJ, *et al.*

Egler, *et al.*: L-asparaginase treatment in ALL

- Improved survival for children and adolescents with acute lymphoblastic leukemia between 1990 and 2005: A report from the children's oncology group. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:1663-9.
83. Stock W, Douer D, DeAngelo DJ, Arellano M, Advani A, Damon L, *et al.* Prevention and management of asparaginase/pegasparaginase-associated toxicities in adults and older adolescents: Recommendations of an expert panel. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2011;52:2237-53.
84. Boissel N, Auclerc MF, Lhéritier V, Perel Y, Thomas X, Leblanc T, *et al.* Should adolescents with acute lymphoblastic leukemia be treated as old children or young adults? Comparison of the French FRALLE-93 and LALA-94 trials. *J Clin Oncol* 2003;21:774-80.
85. Ramanujachar R, Richards S, Hann I, Goldstone A, Mitchell C, Vora A, *et al.* Adolescents with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: Outcome on UK national paediatric (ALL97) and adult (UKALLXII/E2993) trials. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2007;48:254-61.
86. de Bont JM, Holt B, Dekker AW, van der Does-van den Berg A, Sonneveld P, Pieters R. Significant difference in outcome for adolescents with acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated on pediatric vs adult protocols in the Netherlands. *Leukemia* 2004;18:2032-5.
87. Stock W, La M, Sanford B, Bloomfield CD, Vardiman JW, Gaynon B, *et al.* What determines the outcomes for adolescents and young adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated on cooperative group protocols? A comparison of Children's Cancer Group and Cancer and Leukemia Group B studies. *Blood* 2008;112:1646-54.
88. Ribera JM, Oriol A, Sanz MA, Tormo M, Fernández-Abellán P, del Potro E, *et al.* Comparison of the results of the treatment of adolescents and young adults with standard-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia with the Programa Español de Tratamiento en Hematología pediatric-based protocol ALL-96. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:1843-9.
89. Rijneveld AW, van der Holt B, Daenen SM, Biemond BJ, de Weerd O, Muus P, *et al.* Intensified chemotherapy inspired by a pediatric regimen combined with allogeneic transplantation in adult patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia up to the age of 40. *Leukemia* 2011;25:1697-703.
90. Huguet F, Leguay T, Raffoux E, Thomas X, Beldjord K, Delabesse E, *et al.* Pediatric-inspired therapy in adults with Philadelphia chromosome-negative acute lymphoblastic leukemia: The GRAALL-2003 study. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:911-8.
91. Gökbuget N, Beck J, Brandt K, Brüggeman M, Burmeister T, Diederich H, *et al.* Significant improvement of outcome in adolescents and young adults (AYAs) aged 15-35 years with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) with a pediatric derived adult ALL protocol; results of 1529 AYAs in 2 consecutive trials of the German Multicenter Study Group for Adult ALL (GMALL) [abstract]. *Blood* 2013;122:839.
92. Stock W, Luger SM, Advani AS, Geyer S, Harvey RC, Mullighan C, *et al.* Favorable outcomes for older adolescents and young adults (AYA) with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL): Early results of U.S. Intergroup Trial C10403 [abstract]. *Blood* 2014;124:796.
93. DeAngelo DJ, Stevenson KE, Dahlberg SE, Silverman LB, Couban S, Supko JG, *et al.* Long-term outcome of a pediatric-inspired regimen used for adults aged 18-50 years with newly diagnosed acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia* 2015;29:526-34.
94. Cornelissen JJ, van der Holt B, Verhoef GE, van't Veer MB, van Oers MH, Schouten HC, *et al.* Myeloablative allogeneic versus autologous stem cell transplantation in adult patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia in first remission: A prospective sibling donor versus no-donor comparison. *Blood* 2009;113:1375-82.
95. Thomas X, Boiron JM, Huguet F, Dombret H, Bradstock K, Vey N, *et al.* Outcome of treatment in adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Analysis of the LALA-94 trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;22:4075-86.
96. Garcia-Manero G, Kantarjian HM. The hyper-CVAD regimen in adult acute lymphocytic leukemia. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am* 2000;14:1381-96, x-xi.
97. Kantarjian HM, O'Brien S, Smith TL, Cortes J, Giles FJ, Beran M, *et al.* Results of treatment with hyper-CVAD, a dose-intensive regimen, in adult acute lymphocytic leukemia. *J Clin Oncol* 2000;18:547-61.
98. Kantarjian H, Thomas D, O'Brien S, Cortes J, Giles F, Jeha S, *et al.* Long-term follow-up results of hyperfractionated cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone (Hyper-CVAD), a dose-intensive regimen, in adult acute lymphocytic leukemia. *Cancer* 2004;101:2788-801.
99. Thomas DA, O'Brien S, Cortes J, Giles FJ, Faderl S, Verstovsek S, *et al.* Outcome with the hyper-CVAD regimens in lymphoblastic lymphoma. *Blood* 2004;104:1624-30.
100. Morris K, Weston H, Mollee P, Marlton P, Gill D, Kennedy G. Outcome of treatment of adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia with hyperfractionated cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, dexamethasone/methotrexate, cytarabine: Results from an Australian population. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2011;52:85-91.
101. Alacacioglu I, Medeni SS, Ozsan GH, Payzin B, Sevidik OG, Acar C, *et al.* Is the BFM regimen feasible for the treatment of adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia? A retrospective analysis of the outcomes of BFM and hyper-CVAD chemotherapy in two centers. *Chemotherapy* 2014;60:219-23.
102. Buyukakic Y, Acar K, Kelkitli E, Uz B, Serefhanoglu S, Ozdemir E, *et al.* Hyper-CVAD regimen in routine management of adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia: A retrospective multicenter study. *Acta Haematol* 2013;130:199-205.
103. Rytting ME, Thomas DA, O'Brien SM, Ravandi-Kashani F, Jabbour EJ, Franklin AR, *et al.* Augmented Berlin-Frankfurt-Münster therapy in adolescents and young adults (AYAs) with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). *Cancer* 2014;120:3660-8.
104. Pui CH, Pei D, Campana D, Bowman WP, Sandlund JT, Kaste SC, *et al.* Improved prognosis for older adolescents with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Clin Oncol* 2011;29:386-91.
105. Dubashi B, Jain A. L-Asparaginase induced cortical venous thrombosis in a patient with acute leukemia. *J Pharmacol Pharmacother* 2012;3:194-5.
106. Caruso V, Iacoviello L, Di Castelnuovo A, Storti S, Mariani G, de Gaetano G, *et al.* Thrombotic complications in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: A meta-analysis of 17 prospective studies comprising 1752 pediatric patients. *Blood* 2006;108:2216-22.
107. Plourde PV, Jeha S, Hijjiya N, Keller FG, Silverman LB, Rheingold SR, *et al.* Safety profile of asparaginase *Erwinia chrysanthemi* in a large compassionate-use trial. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2014;61:1232-8.
108. Advani AS, Sanford B, Luger S, Devidas M, Larsen EC, Liedtke M, *et al.* Frontline-treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) in older adolescents and young adults (AYA) using a pediatric regimen is feasible: Toxicity results of the prospective US intergroup trial C10403 (Alliance) [abstract]. *Blood* 2013;122:3903.